## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of North Carolina

			Western	i District of North Carolina	
		UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Evan William Blankenship Defendant	) ) Case No. 1:23-mj-00054-WCM )	FILED ASHEVILLE, NC
			ORDER OF D	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL	OCT 16 2023
	Upon	the	Part l	I – Eligibility for Detention	U.S. DISTRICT COURT W. DISTRICT OF N.C.
		D V	Motion of the Government attorney p Motion of the Government or Court's	oursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or sown motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2	2),
he	Cour t and	t held conc	d a detention hearing and found that lusions of law, as required by 18 U.S	detention is warranted. This Order sets forth the S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings	ne Court's findings of s made at the hearing.
			Part II — Findings of Fac	ct and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142	e(e)
	pres	the c	tion that no condition or combination ommunity because the following conthe Defendant is charged with with (a) a crime of violence, a violation 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximal (b) an offense for which a maximal (c) an offense for which a maximal Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chail (d) any felony if such person ha (a) through (c) of this paragraph described in subparagraphs (a) the jurisdiction had existed, or a continuous (i) a minor victim; (ii) the pose 921);	n one of the following crimes described in 18 Union of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in ximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances apter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 705 as been convicted of two or more offenses descent, or two or more State or local offenses that we through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance mbination of such offenses; or wise a crime of violence but involves: ssession of a firearm or destructive device (as of the control of the control of the control of the control of the circumstance which is a crime of violence but involves:	y of any other person  U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):  118 U.S.C. § ore is prescribed; or the is prescribed in the s Import and Export Act 501-70508); or ribed in subparagraphs ould have been offenses giving rise to Federal  defined in 18 U.S.C. §
		(2)	the Defendant has previously been	apon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C convicted of a Federal offense that is described fense that would have been such an offense if a sted; and	d in 18 U.S.C. §
		(3)	the offense described in paragraph	(2) above for which the Defendant has been co e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offe	
		(4)		has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the redescribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever i	

	B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:					
	(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);					
	(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;					
	(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;					
	(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or					
	(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.					
	C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above the Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.					
	the Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to reput the presumption above.					
	OR					
	the Defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.					
	☐ D. The Defendant Has Failed to Carry Defendant's Burden Under Rule 32.1(a)(6)					
	the Defendant was arrested for violating probation or supervised release. Under Rule 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), the Defendant has not shown by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant will not flee or pose a danger to any other person or to the community.					
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention						
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the Defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:						
In the alturny	By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.					
416	By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance as required.					

In addition	to any findings made on the record at the hea	ring, the reasons for detention include the following:	
Ø	Weight of evidence against the Defendant i	s strong	
<b>V</b>	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration i		
	Prior criminal history	, von Holou	
	Participation in criminal activity while on p	probation, parole, or supervision	
	History of violence or use of weapons	7000000, paron, vi bapar raion	
	History of alcohol or substance abuse		
	Lack of stable employment	•	
	Lack of stable residence		
	Lack of financially responsible sureties	•	
	Lack of significant community or family tie	es to this District	
	Significant family or other ties outside the		
	Lack of legal status in the United States		
	Subject to removal or deportation after serv	ing any period of incarceration	
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered		
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement		
	Use of alias(es) or false documents		
	Background information unknown or unver	rified	
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or sup		
	• • • • •	e Court granted an indefinite continuance of the hearing for	
	Addendum containing findings will be filed	l later.	
	IAL REASONS As Stated on the Vecovo	4	
□ The Defe	endant consents to detention.		
	Part IV – Directi	ons Regarding Detention	
for confinent being held in with defense person in ch	nent in a corrections facility separate, to the e n custody pending appeal. The Defendant mu e counsel. On order of a Court of the United S	y General or to the Attorney General's designated representative extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or ast be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the he Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an	
	October 16, 2023		
	Date	Fr. Carleto Material	
		W. Carleton Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge	